DegreeLive

BA English Honours | Semester III | Calicut University

English Language Teaching: Foundations and Practice Level 3

Course Code: ENG3MN203 • Module 2 Notes

Module II: Teaching English for International Language Proficiency Tests - Part 2: Writing

1. Writing as a Productive Skill & Standardized Assessment Criteria

Writing represents the most rigorous productive skill evaluated in international language examinations. Unlike receptive skills, which test comprehension of existing texts, writing requires candidates to generate structured, grammatically accurate, lexically sophisticated, and rhetorically coherent discourse from scratch within strictly timed parameters. International examinations assess candidate writing against four standardized criteria, each carrying an equal 25% weighting:

- **Task Achievement (Task 1) / Task Response (Task 2):** Fully addressing all parts of the prompt, establishing a clear position, presenting relevant main ideas, and providing supporting detail without irrelevant tangents.
- **Coherence and Cohesion:** The logical sequencing of ideas and information, effective paragraphing, and the seamless deployment of cohesive devices (pronouns, transitional linkers, discourse markers) without overuse or mechanical repetition.
- **Lexical Resource:** Range, precision, and sophistication of vocabulary, natural use of collocations, stylistic appropriateness, and low frequency of spelling errors.
- **Grammatical Range and Accuracy:** Flexible and accurate use of a wide variety of complex grammatical structures (relative clauses, conditionals, passive voice, nominalization, cleft sentences) with high sentence accuracy.

2. Task 1: Describing Visual Information (Academic Writing)

In IELTS Academic Writing Task 1, candidates are allotted approximately 20 minutes to produce a formal, objective analytical report of at least **150 words** based on graphical data, process diagrams, or spatial maps:

- **Types of Visual Input:**
  - *Quantitative Data Displays:* Line graphs (trends over time), Bar charts (comparative categories), Pie charts (proportions of a whole), and Data tables (matrix figures).
  - *Process Diagrams:* Linear industrial cycles (e.g., manufacturing paper, brick production) or natural life cycles (e.g., life cycle of the salmon, water cycle). Focus is on sequential linking and passive voice.
  - *Maps and Site Plans:* Comparing spatial layouts across two time periods (e.g., a rural town in 1990 versus 2020), emphasizing urban development, demolition, expansion, and infrastructural change.
- **Four-Paragraph Structural Formula for Task 1:**
  1. **Paragraph 1: Paraphrase of the Prompt:** Rewriting the introductory prompt in the candidate's own words using synonyms and syntactic restructuring (e.g., "The provided line graph illustrates fluctuations in renewable energy consumption across four European nations between 2000 and 2020").
  2. **Paragraph 2: The Overview (Crucial for Band 7+):** Summarizing the overall primary trends, significant extremes (highest/lowest points), and overall patterns without quoting detailed figures. Without an overview, candidates cannot achieve above Band 5 in Task Achievement.
  3. **Paragraph 3: First Detailed Body Paragraph:** Grouping related categories or time periods logically, reporting specific data points, comparative relationships, and percentage changes.

4. **Paragraph 4: Second Detailed Body Paragraph:** Analyzing remaining categories or secondary trends, highlighting contrasts and anomalies with precise statistical citations.

- **Pedagogical Lexicon for Trends:**
  - *Upward Trends:* rose substantially, experienced an upward trajectory, climbed steadily, surged, peaked at.
  - *Downward Trends:* plunged, witnessed a sharp decline, plummeted, dropped marginally, hit a trough.
  - *Stability & Fluctuation:* remained constant, plateaued, leveled off, hovered around, fluctuated wildly.
  - *Prepositions of Measurement:* increased **by** 10% (margin); increased **from** 50 **to** 60 (range); stood **at** 45% in 2010.
  - *Objective Tone:* Absolutely no personal opinions, interpretations, or speculations (e.g., never write "people stopped driving cars because fuel was expensive" unless explicitly stated in the graph).

3. Task 2: Academic & Discursive Essay Writing

Task 2 requires candidates to write a formal discursive essay of at least **250 words** in approximately 40 minutes, responding to a specific point of view, argument, or societal problem. Task 2 carries double the mark weighting of Task 1.

- **Major Essay Classifications:**
  - *Opinion Essay (Agree or Disagree):* Demands a definitive, clear thesis statement defended consistently throughout the essay.
  - *Discussion Essay (Discuss Both Views and Give Your Opinion):* Requires balanced, objective evaluation of two opposing perspectives in separate body paragraphs before articulating the author's own reasoned stance.
  - *Advantages and Disadvantages Essay:* Analyzing both positive benefits and negative drawbacks of a phenomenon, often answering whether advantages outweigh disadvantages.
  - *Problem-Solution / Cause-Effect Essay:* Identifying the root causes of a modern crisis (e.g., urban traffic congestion, childhood obesity) and proposing practical, actionable remediation strategies.
  - *Two-Part Question Essay:* Answering two distinct analytical inquiries presented within a single prompt.
- **Rigorous Essay Architecture:**
  1. **Introduction (40–50 words):**
     - *Hook/Background Statement:* Broad context contextualizing the topic.
     - *Paraphrase of Prompt:* Restating the controversy.
     - *Thesis Statement:* Unambiguous declaration of the candidate's central argument and roadmap of the essay.
  2. **Body Paragraph 1 (90–100 words):** Topic sentence declaring the central claim -> Detailed explanation of underlying mechanisms -> Concrete illustrative example -> Concluding impact/link sentence.
  3. **Body Paragraph 2 (90–100 words):** Topic sentence introducing counter-perspective or secondary argument -> Elaborated analysis -> Supporting empirical or hypothetical example -> Synthesis.
  4. **Conclusion (30–40 words):** Restatement of thesis using fresh vocabulary -> Summary of primary arguments -> Final forward-looking recommendation or philosophical closing thought. No

new arguments introduced.

4. Task 3: Letter Writing (General Training Module)

Candidates in the General Training module write a functional letter of at least **150 words** in response to a practical scenario, addressing three specific bullet-point instructions:

- **Register and Tone Calibration:**
  - *Formal Letters:* Addressed to unknown recipients, officials, or corporate bodies (e.g., complaining to a municipal council, applying for a job, writing to a bank manager). Salutation: `Dear Sir or Madam`; Sign-off: `Yours faithfully`. Formal register: no contractions, elevated vocabulary, passive constructions.
  - *Semi-Formal Letters:* Addressed to known individuals in professional relationships (e.g., landlord, colleague, professor, neighbor). Salutation: `Dear Mr. Davis` / `Dear Mrs. Jenkins`; Sign-off: `Yours sincerely`. Polite, professional, yet direct tone.
  - *Informal Letters:* Addressed to close friends, peers, or relatives (e.g., inviting a friend to stay, apologizing for missing a celebration). Salutation: `Dear Sarah`; Sign-off: `Warm regards` / `Best wishes`. Conversational idioms, contractions allowed.

5. Language Lab Writing Workshops & Timed Diagnostics

Teaching writing effectively requires process-oriented pedagogy combined with product analysis. In language labs, students participate in timed mock diagnostic tests under authentic exam software interfaces. Peer-editing workshops utilize Cambridge marking criteria rubrics to train students to spot coherence gaps, weak topic sentences, dangling modifiers, and lexical repetition. Instructors provide detailed individualized feedback, targeting grammatical recurring errors to steadily elevate student band scores.

Advanced Applied Linguistics, ELT Pedagogy & Testing Hermeneutics (Extension Phase 1)

In contemporary applied linguistics, second language acquisition (SLA) theory, and language assessment research, analyzing Module 2 demands a comprehensive synthesis of communicative language teaching (CLT), psychometric testing standards, and English for Specific Purposes (ESP) pedagogy. Preparing learners for high-stakes standardized assessments (IELTS, TOEFL) alongside workplace career benchmarks requires addressing the complex interplay between receptive decoding mechanisms, productive discourse generation, interactional pragmatics, and professional communicative registers.

1. Theoretical Paradigms in Language Assessment & Career Pedagogy

Scholars and practitioners in English language teaching address critical foundational debates within Module 2:

- **Construct Validity vs. Test-Wiseness (Washback Effect):** A central controversy in language testing is whether high scores reflect genuine communicative competence or merely strategic "cramming" and test-taking heuristics. The *washback effect* dictates that high-stakes tests directly shape classroom methodology; effective ELT pedagogy must harness positive washback, integrating rigorous communicative tasks rather than mechanical question drills.
- **Cognitive Load & Dual-Task Processing:** In both academic listening/reading and timed essay/monologue production, candidates manage intense cognitive load. Receptive processing requires simultaneously decoding phonological or orthographic input, activating topical schemata, evaluating

distractors, and writing answers. Productive tasks demand concurrent ideational planning, syntactic formulation, lexical retrieval, and motor execution.

- **English for Specific Purposes (ESP) & Genre Analysis:** As John Swales formulated, professional communicative genres (resumes, cover letters, research abstracts, corporate presentations) possess distinctive rhetorical "moves." Teaching career English requires making these genre conventions transparent to learners, enabling them to command business registers and workplace etiquette.

2. Comparative Analytical Matrix: Standardized Testing vs. Workplace Communication

A structured comparative assessment delineates performance metrics and linguistic requirements across communicative contexts in Module 2:

Linguistic Dimension	IELTS / Standardized Testing	Academic Presentation English	Workplace Career English (Resumes, GD, Interviews)
Communicative Target	Demonstrating grammatical range, lexical precision, and test task completion.	Rhetorical persuasion, clarity of concept, engaging audience visually & vocally.	Pragmatic efficacy, corporate value proposition, collaborative leadership.
Interactional Dynamic	Formal examiner-candidate dialogue; strict time-bound turn-taking.	Unidirectional structured presentation followed by audience Q&A.	Fluid group dynamics, polite consensus building, strategic turn-yielding.
Evaluation Criteria	Band descriptors (Task Response, Cohesion, Lexis, Grammar/Pronunciation).	Slide clarity, vocal modulation, logical signposting, concept retention.	STAR storytelling, ATS keyword match, diplomatic register, executive presence.
Error Consequence	Direct reduction in standardized band score (e.g. Band 7 to Band 6).	Loss of audience attention or academic credibility during defense.	Automated ATS resume rejection or unfavorable hiring decision.

3. Glossary of ELT Pedagogy, Psychometrics & Career Communication

Pedagogical Term	Disciplinary Domain	Standard Definition & Classroom Application
Washback (Backwash)	Language Assessment	The direct impact (positive or negative) that a high-stakes examination exerts on teaching methodologies, curriculum design, and learner motivation.
Receptive vs. Productive	SLA Theory	Receptive skills (listening, reading) involve passive decoding of input; productive skills (speaking, writing) demand active generation of linguistic output.
Distractor	Item Writing / Testing	An incorrect option in a multiple-choice question designed to appear plausible, testing a candidate's precision in distinguishing nuances.

Pedagogical Term	Disciplinary Domain	Standard Definition & Classroom Application
A-R-E-A Framework	Oral Fluency Training	A structured speaking heuristic: Answer the question, provide Reason, offer concrete Example, and suggest an Alternative perspective.
STAR Technique	Interview Pedagogy	Behavioral interview answering model: Situation (context), Task (objective), Action (specific steps taken), Result (quantified achievement).
ATS Optimization	Career Document Design	Applicant Tracking System formatting: structuring resumes with standard headers, chronological layout, and industry keywords to pass algorithmic filters.

4. Structured Pedagogical Protocol for Language Laboratory Practice

Professional instruction across Module 2 follows an organized four-phase laboratory cycle:

- **Phase 1: Diagnostic Assessment & Error Logging:** Administering calibrated benchmark diagnostics in the lab; recording audio monologues or written tasks to identify specific linguistic and strategic bottlenecks.
- **Phase 2: Targeted Strategy Workshops:** Explicit teaching of sub-skills (e.g., speed-reading scanning drills, phonetic linking reduction exercises, ATS resume parsing, STAR interview simulations).
- **Phase 3: Peer Evaluation & Rubric Calibration:** Engaging learners in collaborative marking using authentic Cambridge/ETS rubrics, demystifying band criteria and fostering autonomous self-correction.
- **Phase 4: Timed Performance Simulations:** Executing full-length timed mock tests under authentic exam interfaces or conducting simulated group discussions and presentations with video feedback review.

Advanced Applied Linguistics, ELT Pedagogy & Testing Hermeneutics (Extension Phase 2)

In contemporary applied linguistics, second language acquisition (SLA) theory, and language assessment research, analyzing Module 2 demands a comprehensive synthesis of communicative language teaching (CLT), psychometric testing standards, and English for Specific Purposes (ESP) pedagogy. Preparing learners for high-stakes standardized assessments (IELTS, TOEFL) alongside workplace career benchmarks requires addressing the complex interplay between receptive decoding mechanisms, productive discourse generation, interactional pragmatics, and professional communicative registers.

1. Theoretical Paradigms in Language Assessment & Career Pedagogy

Scholars and practitioners in English language teaching address critical foundational debates within Module 2:

- **Construct Validity vs. Test-Wiseness (Washback Effect):** A central controversy in language testing is whether high scores reflect genuine communicative competence or merely strategic "cramming" and test-taking heuristics. The *washback effect* dictates that high-stakes tests directly shape classroom methodology; effective ELT pedagogy must harness positive washback, integrating rigorous communicative tasks rather than mechanical question drills.
- **Cognitive Load & Dual-Task Processing:** In both academic listening/reading and timed essay/monologue production, candidates manage intense cognitive load. Receptive processing requires

simultaneously decoding phonological or orthographic input, activating topical schemata, evaluating distractors, and writing answers. Productive tasks demand concurrent ideational planning, syntactic formulation, lexical retrieval, and motor execution.

- **English for Specific Purposes (ESP) & Genre Analysis:** As John Swales formulated, professional communicative genres (resumes, cover letters, research abstracts, corporate presentations) possess distinctive rhetorical "moves." Teaching career English requires making these genre conventions transparent to learners, enabling them to command business registers and workplace etiquette.

2. Comparative Analytical Matrix: Standardized Testing vs. Workplace Communication

A structured comparative assessment delineates performance metrics and linguistic requirements across communicative contexts in Module 2:

Linguistic Dimension	IELTS / Standardized Testing	Academic Presentation English	Workplace Career English (Resumes, GD, Interviews)
Communicative Target	Demonstrating grammatical range, lexical precision, and test task completion.	Rhetorical persuasion, clarity of concept, engaging audience visually & vocally.	Pragmatic efficacy, corporate value proposition, collaborative leadership.
Interactional Dynamic	Formal examiner-candidate dialogue; strict time-bound turn-taking.	Unidirectional structured presentation followed by audience Q&A.	Fluid group dynamics, polite consensus building, strategic turn-yielding.
Evaluation Criteria	Band descriptors (Task Response, Cohesion, Lexis, Grammar/Pronunciation).	Slide clarity, vocal modulation, logical signposting, concept retention.	STAR storytelling, ATS keyword match, diplomatic register, executive presence.
Error Consequence	Direct reduction in standardized band score (e.g. Band 7 to Band 6).	Loss of audience attention or academic credibility during defense.	Automated ATS resume rejection or unfavorable hiring decision.

3. Glossary of ELT Pedagogy, Psychometrics & Career Communication

Pedagogical Term	Disciplinary Domain	Standard Definition & Classroom Application
Washback (Backwash)	Language Assessment	The direct impact (positive or negative) that a high-stakes examination exerts on teaching methodologies, curriculum design, and learner motivation.
Receptive vs. Productive	SLA Theory	Receptive skills (listening, reading) involve passive decoding of input; productive skills (speaking, writing) demand active generation of linguistic output.

Pedagogical Term	Disciplinary Domain	Standard Definition & Classroom Application
Distractor	Item Writing / Testing	An incorrect option in a multiple-choice question designed to appear plausible, testing a candidate's precision in distinguishing nuances.
A-R-E-A Framework	Oral Fluency Training	A structured speaking heuristic: Answer the question, provide Reason, offer concrete Example, and suggest an Alternative perspective.
STAR Technique	Interview Pedagogy	Behavioral interview answering model: Situation (context), Task (objective), Action (specific steps taken), Result (quantified achievement).
ATS Optimization	Career Document Design	Applicant Tracking System formatting: structuring resumes with standard headers, chronological layout, and industry keywords to pass algorithmic filters.

4. Structured Pedagogical Protocol for Language Laboratory Practice

Professional instruction across Module 2 follows an organized four-phase laboratory cycle:

- **Phase 1: Diagnostic Assessment & Error Logging:** Administering calibrated benchmark diagnostics in the lab; recording audio monologues or written tasks to identify specific linguistic and strategic bottlenecks.
- **Phase 2: Targeted Strategy Workshops:** Explicit teaching of sub-skills (e.g., speed-reading scanning drills, phonetic linking reduction exercises, ATS resume parsing, STAR interview simulations).
- **Phase 3: Peer Evaluation & Rubric Calibration:** Engaging learners in collaborative marking using authentic Cambridge/ETS rubrics, demystifying band criteria and fostering autonomous self-correction.
- **Phase 4: Timed Performance Simulations:** Executing full-length timed mock tests under authentic exam interfaces or conducting simulated group discussions and presentations with video feedback review.

Advanced Applied Linguistics, ELT Pedagogy & Testing Hermeneutics (Extension Phase 3)

In contemporary applied linguistics, second language acquisition (SLA) theory, and language assessment research, analyzing Module 2 demands a comprehensive synthesis of communicative language teaching (CLT), psychometric testing standards, and English for Specific Purposes (ESP) pedagogy. Preparing learners for high-stakes standardized assessments (IELTS, TOEFL) alongside workplace career benchmarks requires addressing the complex interplay between receptive decoding mechanisms, productive discourse generation, interactional pragmatics, and professional communicative registers.

1. Theoretical Paradigms in Language Assessment & Career Pedagogy

Scholars and practitioners in English language teaching address critical foundational debates within Module 2:

- **Construct Validity vs. Test-Wiseness (Washback Effect):** A central controversy in language testing is whether high scores reflect genuine communicative competence or merely strategic "cramming" and test-taking heuristics. The *washback effect* dictates that high-stakes tests directly shape

classroom methodology; effective ELT pedagogy must harness positive washback, integrating rigorous communicative tasks rather than mechanical question drills.

- **Cognitive Load & Dual-Task Processing:** In both academic listening/reading and timed essay/monologue production, candidates manage intense cognitive load. Receptive processing requires simultaneously decoding phonological or orthographic input, activating topical schemata, evaluating distractors, and writing answers. Productive tasks demand concurrent ideational planning, syntactic formulation, lexical retrieval, and motor execution.
- **English for Specific Purposes (ESP) & Genre Analysis:** As John Swales formulated, professional communicative genres (resumes, cover letters, research abstracts, corporate presentations) possess distinctive rhetorical "moves." Teaching career English requires making these genre conventions transparent to learners, enabling them to command business registers and workplace etiquette.

2. Comparative Analytical Matrix: Standardized Testing vs. Workplace Communication

A structured comparative assessment delineates performance metrics and linguistic requirements across communicative contexts in Module 2:

Linguistic Dimension	IELTS / Standardized Testing	Academic Presentation English	Workplace Career English (Resumes, GD, Interviews)
Communicative Target	Demonstrating grammatical range, lexical precision, and test task completion.	Rhetorical persuasion, clarity of concept, engaging audience visually & vocally.	Pragmatic efficacy, corporate value proposition, collaborative leadership.
Interactional Dynamic	Formal examiner-candidate dialogue; strict time-bound turn-taking.	Unidirectional structured presentation followed by audience Q&A.	Fluid group dynamics, polite consensus building, strategic turn-yielding.
Evaluation Criteria	Band descriptors (Task Response, Cohesion, Lexis, Grammar/Pronunciation).	Slide clarity, vocal modulation, logical signposting, concept retention.	STAR storytelling, ATS keyword match, diplomatic register, executive presence.
Error Consequence	Direct reduction in standardized band score (e.g. Band 7 to Band 6).	Loss of audience attention or academic credibility during defense.	Automated ATS resume rejection or unfavorable hiring decision.

3. Glossary of ELT Pedagogy, Psychometrics & Career Communication

Pedagogical Term	Disciplinary Domain	Standard Definition & Classroom Application
Washback (Backwash)	Language Assessment	The direct impact (positive or negative) that a high-stakes examination exerts on teaching methodologies, curriculum design, and learner motivation.

Pedagogical Term	Disciplinary Domain	Standard Definition & Classroom Application
Receptive vs. Productive	SLA Theory	Receptive skills (listening, reading) involve passive decoding of input; productive skills (speaking, writing) demand active generation of linguistic output.
Distractor	Item Writing / Testing	An incorrect option in a multiple-choice question designed to appear plausible, testing a candidate's precision in distinguishing nuances.
A-R-E-A Framework	Oral Fluency Training	A structured speaking heuristic: Answer the question, provide Reason, offer concrete Example, and suggest an Alternative perspective.
STAR Technique	Interview Pedagogy	Behavioral interview answering model: Situation (context), Task (objective), Action (specific steps taken), Result (quantified achievement).
ATS Optimization	Career Document Design	Applicant Tracking System formatting: structuring resumes with standard headers, chronological layout, and industry keywords to pass algorithmic filters.

4. Structured Pedagogical Protocol for Language Laboratory Practice

Professional instruction across Module 2 follows an organized four-phase laboratory cycle:

- **Phase 1: Diagnostic Assessment & Error Logging:** Administering calibrated benchmark diagnostics in the lab; recording audio monologues or written tasks to identify specific linguistic and strategic bottlenecks.
- **Phase 2: Targeted Strategy Workshops:** Explicit teaching of sub-skills (e.g., speed-reading scanning drills, phonetic linking reduction exercises, ATS resume parsing, STAR interview simulations).
- **Phase 3: Peer Evaluation & Rubric Calibration:** Engaging learners in collaborative marking using authentic Cambridge/ETS rubrics, demystifying band criteria and fostering autonomous self-correction.
- **Phase 4: Timed Performance Simulations:** Executing full-length timed mock tests under authentic exam interfaces or conducting simulated group discussions and presentations with video feedback review.

Advanced Applied Linguistics, ELT Pedagogy & Testing Hermeneutics (Extension Phase 4)

In contemporary applied linguistics, second language acquisition (SLA) theory, and language assessment research, analyzing Module 2 demands a comprehensive synthesis of communicative language teaching (CLT), psychometric testing standards, and English for Specific Purposes (ESP) pedagogy. Preparing learners for high-stakes standardized assessments (IELTS, TOEFL) alongside workplace career benchmarks requires addressing the complex interplay between receptive decoding mechanisms, productive discourse generation, interactional pragmatics, and professional communicative registers.

1. Theoretical Paradigms in Language Assessment & Career Pedagogy

Scholars and practitioners in English language teaching address critical foundational debates within Module 2:

- **Construct Validity vs. Test-Wiseness (Washback Effect):** A central controversy in language testing is whether high scores reflect genuine communicative competence or merely strategic "cramming" and test-taking heuristics. The *washback effect* dictates that high-stakes tests directly shape classroom methodology; effective ELT pedagogy must harness positive washback, integrating rigorous communicative tasks rather than mechanical question drills.
- **Cognitive Load & Dual-Task Processing:** In both academic listening/reading and timed essay/monologue production, candidates manage intense cognitive load. Receptive processing requires simultaneously decoding phonological or orthographic input, activating topical schemata, evaluating distractors, and writing answers. Productive tasks demand concurrent ideational planning, syntactic formulation, lexical retrieval, and motor execution.
- **English for Specific Purposes (ESP) & Genre Analysis:** As John Swales formulated, professional communicative genres (resumes, cover letters, research abstracts, corporate presentations) possess distinctive rhetorical "moves." Teaching career English requires making these genre conventions transparent to learners, enabling them to command business registers and workplace etiquette.

2. Comparative Analytical Matrix: Standardized Testing vs. Workplace Communication

A structured comparative assessment delineates performance metrics and linguistic requirements across communicative contexts in Module 2:

Linguistic Dimension	IELTS / Standardized Testing	Academic Presentation English	Workplace Career English (Resumes, GD, Interviews)
Communicative Target	Demonstrating grammatical range, lexical precision, and test task completion.	Rhetorical persuasion, clarity of concept, engaging audience visually & vocally.	Pragmatic efficacy, corporate value proposition, collaborative leadership.
Interactional Dynamic	Formal examiner-candidate dialogue; strict time-bound turn-taking.	Unidirectional structured presentation followed by audience Q&A.	Fluid group dynamics, polite consensus building, strategic turn-yielding.
Evaluation Criteria	Band descriptors (Task Response, Cohesion, Lexis, Grammar/Pronunciation).	Slide clarity, vocal modulation, logical signposting, concept retention.	STAR storytelling, ATS keyword match, diplomatic register, executive presence.
Error Consequence	Direct reduction in standardized band score (e.g. Band 7 to Band 6).	Loss of audience attention or academic credibility during defense.	Automated ATS resume rejection or unfavorable hiring decision.

Degreelive

3. Glossary of ELT Pedagogy, Psychometrics & Career Communication

Pedagogical Term	Disciplinary Domain	Standard Definition & Classroom Application
Washback (Backwash)	Language Assessment	The direct impact (positive or negative) that a high-stakes examination exerts on teaching methodologies, curriculum design, and learner motivation.
Receptive vs. Productive	SLA Theory	Receptive skills (listening, reading) involve passive decoding of input; productive skills (speaking, writing) demand active generation of linguistic output.
Distractor	Item Writing / Testing	An incorrect option in a multiple-choice question designed to appear plausible, testing a candidate's precision in distinguishing nuances.
A-R-E-A Framework	Oral Fluency Training	A structured speaking heuristic: Answer the question, provide Reason, offer concrete Example, and suggest an Alternative perspective.
STAR Technique	Interview Pedagogy	Behavioral interview answering model: Situation (context), Task (objective), Action (specific steps taken), Result (quantified achievement).
ATS Optimization	Career Document Design	Applicant Tracking System formatting: structuring resumes with standard headers, chronological layout, and industry keywords to pass algorithmic filters.

4. Structured Pedagogical Protocol for Language Laboratory Practice

Professional instruction across Module 2 follows an organized four-phase laboratory cycle:

- **Phase 1: Diagnostic Assessment & Error Logging:** Administering calibrated benchmark diagnostics in the lab; recording audio monologues or written tasks to identify specific linguistic and strategic bottlenecks.
- **Phase 2: Targeted Strategy Workshops:** Explicit teaching of sub-skills (e.g., speed-reading scanning drills, phonetic linking reduction exercises, ATS resume parsing, STAR interview simulations).
- **Phase 3: Peer Evaluation & Rubric Calibration:** Engaging learners in collaborative marking using authentic Cambridge/ETS rubrics, demystifying band criteria and fostering autonomous self-correction.
- **Phase 4: Timed Performance Simulations:** Executing full-length timed mock tests under authentic exam interfaces or conducting simulated group discussions and presentations with video feedback review.

Degreelive