DegreeLive

Bachelor of Business Administration (BBA) | Semester 4 | UK4DSCMGT202

Organisational Behaviour and Group Dynamics

Course Code: UK4DSCMGT202 • Module 2 Notes

degreelive.in

Module 2: Personality Determinants, Perception, and Individual Behaviour

Course: Organisational Behaviour and Group Dynamics (UK4DSCMGT202) • Academic Level: Semester 4 • DegreeLive Academic Press

1. Concept, Determinants, and Theoretical Models of Personality

Personality represents the dynamic and organized set of psychological traits, cognitive habits, emotional dispositions, and behavioral tendencies that uniquely characterize an individual and determine their characteristic adaptations across diverse environmental situations. In organisational behaviour, understanding personality architectures enables managers to forecast individual work preferences, interpersonal communication styles, stress tolerance thresholds, leadership capabilities, and job-person compatibility.

The Determinants of Personality: Nature Versus Nurture

Behavioral scientists categorize personality determinants into three major interacting forces:

- **Biological and Hereditary Determinants (Nature):** Physical stature, facial features, temperament, brain biological wiring, and inherited genetic predispositions. Twin studies demonstrate that approximately 40% to 50% of personality variance across adult populations is rooted in genetic biological inheritance.
- **Environmental and Cultural Determinants (Nurture):** Societal values, national cultural norms, family upbringing practices, early childhood socialization, religious teachings, and educational training. Cultural environments instill deep-seated values regarding achievement, authority, and interpersonal deference.
- **Situational Determinants:** While personality traits exhibit enduring consistency over time, specific workplace situations exert powerful behavioral constraints. "Strong situations" (such as a formal military parade or a highly regulated bank teller counter) enforce standardized behavioral conformity, suppressing individual personality expression. Conversely, "weak situations" (such as an open brainstorming retreat or autonomous creative design work) permit broad expression of personal idiosyncrasies.

2. Psychometric Instruments and Trait Models of Personality

Organizational recruitment and leadership assessment rely on validated psychological measurement frameworks to match individuals with appropriate vocational roles.

A. The Big Five (Five-Factor) Personality Model (OCEAN Framework)

Universally regarded as the most robust, empirically verified personality framework in modern psychological scholarship, the Big Five model posits that human personality can be mapped across five fundamental bipolar dimensions:

Dimension	Low-Score Behavioral Characteristics	High-Score Behavioral Characteristics	Vocational Fit & Workplace Impact
Openness to Experience	Conventional, pragmatic, prefers routine, comfortable with established traditional procedures.	Imaginative, intellectually curious, artistic, eager to explore novel conceptual ideas and creative strategies.	Predicts training adaptability, strategic leadership vision, and success in creative advertising, R&D, and innovation hubs.
Conscientiousness	Easily distracted, disorganized, impulsive, unreliable with administrative project deadlines.	Disciplined, methodical, organized, dependable, possessing high perseverance and achievement striving.	The single strongest and most consistent predictor of overall job performance across virtually all industrial and managerial occupations.
Extraversion	Introverted, reserved, quiet, reflective; recharges through solitary focus and analytical reflection.	Outgoing, sociable, assertive, energetic, enthusiastic; thrives in interactive social environments.	Strong predictor of success in customer-facing sales roles, business development, public relations, and managerial leadership positions.
Agreeableness	Skeptical, competitive, antagonistic, demanding; willing to confront colleagues to challenge assumptions.	Cooperative, warm, trusting, empathetic, altruistic; deeply committed to team harmony and conflict avoidance.	Exceptional predictor of success in customer service, healthcare administration, team mediation, and collaborative cross-functional roles.
Emotional Stability (Neuroticism)	High Neuroticism: Anxious, insecure, prone to mood swings, highly vulnerable to occupational stress and burnout.	High Stability: Calm, poised, self-confident, resilient under crisis pressure, emotionally balanced.	Critical for high-stress occupations (emergency medicine, crisis PR, commercial piloting, investment trading desks).

B. The Myers-Briggs Type Indicator (MBTI)

Based on Carl Jung's psychological typologies, the MBTI classifies individuals into one of 16 distinct personality types across four cognitive preferences:

1. **Extraversion (E) versus Introversion (I):** Where individuals direct their mental energy (outward toward people and external activities versus inward toward solitary thoughts and reflection).
2. **Sensing (S) versus Intuition (N):** How individuals gather information from their environment (relying on concrete, factual, sensory details versus abstract patterns, future possibilities, and big-

picture meanings).

3. **Thinking (T) versus Feeling (F):** How individuals make decisions (using objective logic, rational principles, and impersonal analysis versus subjective human values, empathy, and relationship harmony).
4. **Judging (J) versus Perceiving (P):** How individuals orient toward the external world (preferring structured schedules, definitive closures, and planned order versus flexible adaptability, spontaneity, and open options).

3. Workplace Attitudes, Job Satisfaction, and Cognitive Dissonance

An attitude is an evaluative statement—either favorable or unfavorable—concerning objects, people, or events. In organizational settings, attitudes reflect how employees feel about their work environment, supervisors, and compensation.

A. The Tri-Component (ABC) Model of Attitudes

- **Cognitive Component:** The opinion, belief, or factual knowledge held by the individual (e.g., *"My supervisor assigns me the most complex technical accounts without providing adequate team support"*).
- **Affective Component:** The emotional or feeling segment of the attitude (e.g., *"I feel unappreciated, stressed, and resentful toward my departmental management"*).
- **Behavioral Component:** The specific intention to behave in a particular manner toward someone or something (e.g., *"I am updating my CV and actively applying for employment at competing firms"*).

B. Cognitive Dissonance Theory (Leon Festinger)

Cognitive dissonance refers to the distressing psychological tension experienced by an individual when they perceive an incompatibility between two or more of their attitudes, or between their personal attitudes and overt behavior. Because psychological inconsistency is emotionally uncomfortable, individuals actively seek to resolve dissonance by: (1) Altering the underlying attitude; (2) Changing the overt behavior; or (3) Developing rationalizations to justify the inconsistency (e.g., a corporate executive who personally values environmental sustainability selling high-emissions diesel vehicles by rationalizing that company compliance meets current statutory standards).

4. The Perceptual Process, Perceptual Errors, and Attribution Theory

Perception is the cognitive process by which individuals organize, filter, and interpret sensory impressions to give meaning to their environment. In organisational behaviour, reality is mediated by perception: employees behave not according to what an objective situation actually is, but according to how they subjectively perceive it to be.

Common Perceptual Distortions and Cognitive Biases

1. **Selective Perception:** The subconscious tendency to filter out information that contradicts personal beliefs and absorb only data aligned with current interests, background, and attitudes.
2. **Halo Effect:** Drawing a general positive impression of an individual based on a single favorable characteristic (e.g., assuming an employee who delivers an articulate verbal presentation is also exceptionally skilled in complex financial modeling). The inverse error is the **Horns Effect** (condemning overall competence based on a single minor shortcoming).
3. **Stereotyping:** Judging an individual based on the generalized perception of the demographic, racial, regional, or gender group to which that person belongs, ignoring unique personal competencies.
4. **Contrast Effect:** Distorting the evaluation of a person's characteristics by comparing them against individuals recently encountered who scored exceptionally high or low on the same traits (e.g., interviewing a competent candidate immediately after an extraordinary candidate, causing the competent candidate to appear deficient).
5. **Projection:** Attributing one's own traits, unethical impulses, or motivations to others (e.g., a dishonest employee assuming that all colleagues are actively falsifying expense vouchers).

Harold Kelley's Covariation Model of Attribution Theory

Attribution theory explores how individuals explain the causes of other people's behavior, attributing actions to either **Internal Causes** (factors under personal control: ability, effort, attitude) or **External Causes** (situational factors beyond personal control: bad weather, faulty equipment, market downturns). Kelley demonstrated that attribution judgments are determined by three criteria:

Attribution Criterion	Low Diagnostic Condition	High Diagnostic Condition	Attribution Result
Distinctiveness	Employee exhibits identical poor performance across multiple different projects and tasks.	Employee performs poorly only on this specific unique task, while excelling on all other projects.	Low Distinctiveness ⇒ Internal Cause. High Distinctiveness ⇒ External Cause.
Consensus	Only this specific employee failed to meet the deadline; all other team members succeeded.	Every team member facing the same operating conditions also failed to meet the deadline.	Low Consensus ⇒ Internal Cause. High Consensus ⇒ External Cause.
Consistency	Employee performs poorly today, but has maintained flawless execution over past months.	Employee consistently arrives late and underperforms week after week over long periods.	Low Consistency ⇒ External Cause. High Consistency ⇒ Internal Cause.

Attribution is distorted by two universal cognitive biases: the **Fundamental Attribution Error** (the tendency to underestimate external situational factors and overestimate internal personal faults when

judging other people's failures) and the **Self-Serving Bias** (the tendency for individuals to attribute their own successes to internal ability while blaming personal failures on external scapegoats).

5. Learning Theories and Behavioral Reinforcement in Management

Learning is any relatively permanent change in behavior resulting from experience, observation, or instruction. Modern management deploys four foundational learning frameworks:

- **Classical Conditioning (Ivan Pavlov):** A passive learning process wherein an unconditioned stimulus (meat powder) producing an automatic reflex is paired with a neutral conditioned stimulus (bell ringing) until the neutral stimulus independently triggers the conditioned response (salivation). In management, subtle workplace environmental cues (e.g., an executive's stern tone of voice) become conditioned stimuli eliciting defensive stress reactions.
- **Operant Conditioning and Reinforcement Theory (B.F. Skinner):** Argues that behavior is a function of its operational consequences. Individuals repeat behaviors that are rewarded and abandon behaviors that produce negative or unrewarded outcomes. Skinner established four reinforcement strategies:
  - *Positive Reinforcement:* Providing a rewarding consequence (bonus, promotion, public praise) immediately following desired behavior.
  - *Negative Reinforcement (Avoidance Learning):* Removing an unpleasant condition when desired behavior is performed (e.g., terminating supervisory micromanagement once an employee demonstrates consistent deadlines).
  - *Extinction:* Withholding all positive reinforcement from previously rewarded behavior, causing the behavior to gradually diminish and disappear.
  - *Punishment:* Applying an unpleasant consequence (written reprimand, suspension) to eliminate undesirable actions.
- **Social Cognitive Learning Theory (Albert Bandura):** Demonstrates that human beings learn primarily through **Observational Learning (Modeling)** by watching the actions and consequences experienced by role models. Learning involves four cognitive subprocesses: *Attentional Processes* (noticing the model), *Retention Processes* (remembering the behavior), *Motor Reproduction Processes* (physically practicing the skill), and *Reinforcement Processes* (experiencing rewards).

6. Leadership Theories and Contemporary Behavioral Styles

Leadership is the process of influencing and directing a group toward the achievement of organizational goals. Theoretical evolution spans four major paradigms:

A. Trait and Behavioral Leadership Theories

- **Trait Theories:** Early studies posited that leaders possess inherent traits (intelligence, self-confidence, determination, integrity, sociability). However, trait models failed to explain why identical leaders succeed in some situations and fail in others.

DegreeLive

- **The Ohio State and Michigan Studies:** Isolated two primary behavioral dimensions: **Initiating Structure (Task-Oriented Behavior)**, focusing on scheduling, defining roles, and enforcing standards; and **Consideration (Employee-Oriented Behavior)**, focusing on mutual trust, warm relationships, and employee welfare.
- **The Blake and Mouton Managerial Grid:** Mapped concern for production (1 to 9) against concern for people (1 to 9). Identified *Team Management (9,9)* as the ideal leadership style, achieving high performance through collective commitment and mutual trust.

B. Contingency Leadership Models

1. **Fiedler's Contingency Model:** Argued that leadership effectiveness depends on matching a leader's fixed style (measured via the Least Preferred Co-Worker - LPC scale) to the degree of situational favorableness, determined by *Leader-Member Relations*, *Task Structure*, and *Position Power*. Task-oriented leaders excel in very favorable or very unfavorable situations; relationship-oriented leaders excel in moderate situations.
2. **Hersey and Blanchard's Situational Leadership Theory (SLT):** Focuses on follower readiness (competence and commitment). Recommends four developmental leadership styles: *Telling / Directing* for low competence/high commitment; *Selling / Coaching* for low competence/low commitment; *Participating / Supporting* for high competence/variable commitment; and *Delegating* for high competence/high commitment.
3. **House's Path-Goal Theory:** Posits that the leader's primary role is to assist followers in attaining their goals by clarifying the path, removing operational obstacles, and increasing motivational rewards. Recommends Directive, Supportive, Participative, or Achievement-Oriented behaviors based on environmental and employee contingencies.

C. Transformational Versus Transactional Leadership

Transactional Leaders guide followers by clarifying role requirements and rewarding contractual compliance (contingent rewards, management by exception). **Transformational Leaders** inspire followers to transcend self-interest for the collective mission of the organization through the **Four Is**: *Idealized Influence* (serving as charismatic role models), *Inspirational Motivation* (articulating compelling future visions), *Intellectual Stimulation* (challenging assumptions and encouraging creativity), and *Individualized Consideration* (acting as personal mentors to foster individual employee growth).

7. Specific Personality Traits Influencing Workplace Behavior

Beyond the overarching Big Five framework, organizational researchers investigate several targeted personality traits that exert powerful influences on managerial decision-making, ethical conduct, and team interactions:

- **Locus of Control:** The degree to which individuals believe that they are masters of their own destiny:
  - *Internal Locus of Control:* Individuals believe that their personal actions, skill, and effort determine their life outcomes. "Internals" exhibit higher job satisfaction, take proactive

initiative, display strong entrepreneurial drives, and handle complex learning tasks effectively.

- *External Locus of Control:* Individuals perceive life events as governed by external luck, fate, powerful superiors, or uncontrollable economic forces. "Externals" are more compliant and conformist, performing well under structured, directive supervision.

- **Machiavellianism:** Named after Niccolò Machiavelli, this trait measures the degree to which an individual is pragmatic, maintains emotional detachment, and believes that "the end justifies the means." High Machs are skilled negotiators, persuasive manipulators, and excel in unstructured environments where personal charm and political bargaining yield commercial advantage. However, in strictly regulated environments requiring deep interpersonal trust, high Machs generate team toxicity.
- **Self-Monitoring:** An individual's capability to adjust their behavioral presentation to external situational cues. High self-monitors are social chameleons, capable of masking personal feelings and displaying whatever persona a client or executive expects, excelling in sales and cross-cultural diplomacy. Low self-monitors display true internal dispositions across all settings, exhibiting high behavioral consistency and integrity.
- **Type A Versus Type B Behavior Patterns:** Type A individuals are chronically engaged in an aggressive, continuous struggle to achieve more in less time; they are impatient, hyper-competitive, obsess over multi-tasking, and face high vulnerability to cardiovascular stress. Type B individuals are relaxed, patient, reflective, rarely harbor urgent hostility, and excel in long-term strategic analysis.
- **Proactive Personality:** The disposition to identify opportunities, take initiative, persevere through obstacles, and drive meaningful environmental change rather than passively adapting to circumstances.

8. Emotional Intelligence (EQ / EI) in Managerial Effectiveness

First popularized by Daniel Goleman (1995), Emotional Intelligence refers to the capability of an individual to recognize, understand, manage, and reason with emotions in oneself and in relationships with others. Empirical research shows that in senior executive leadership positions, emotional intelligence accounts for up to 85% of the variance between star performers and average performers.

Goleman's Emotional Intelligence framework comprises five interconnected behavioral competencies:

1. **Self-Awareness:** The foundational ability to recognize one's own emotional states, strengths, weaknesses, and triggers, and understanding how one's moods affect subordinates and decision-making.
2. **Self-Regulation:** The discipline to control disruptive emotional impulses and moods, maintaining professional poise, composure, and ethical integrity under intense commercial crises.
3. **Internal Motivation:** A deep-seated passion to work for intrinsic reasons that transcend money or status—such as professional mastery, personal pride in excellence, and dedication to corporate purpose.

4. **Empathy:** The cognitive and emotional capability to understand the emotional makeup of other people and treat individuals in accordance with their distinct psychological reactions, vital for cross-cultural team management.
5. **Social Skills:** Proficiency in managing relationships, building coalitions, resolving interpersonal disputes, and persuading diverse stakeholder groups to adopt common strategic goals.

9. Workplace Attitudes: Organizational Commitment and Psychological Empowerment

Beyond general job satisfaction, organizational psychologists examine deeper psychological bonds between employees and the enterprise:

John Meyer and Natalie Allen's Three-Component Commitment Model

Organizational commitment reflects an employee's psychological attachment to and identification with the enterprise. Meyer and Allen categorized commitment into three distinct dimensions:

Commitment Dimension	Psychological Mindset	Underlying Motivational Driver	Managerial Impact
Affective Commitment	*"I stay with the company because I WANT to."*	Emotional attachment, shared core values, deep personal identification with company mission.	Highest correlation with superior task performance, low absenteeism, high organizational citizenship behavior (OCB), and long-term retention.
Continuance Commitment	*"I stay with the company because I NEED to."*	Economic calculation of the severe monetary costs of leaving (loss of pension, non-transferable perks, lack of alternative employment).	Reduces turnover, but does not drive discretionary effort; employees perform only the minimum required to preserve their employment.
Normative Commitment	*"I stay with the company because I OUGHT to."*	Moral and ethical obligation (feeling indebted to the company for extensive training, mentoring, or hiring during a personal crisis).	Fosters steady loyalty and ethical compliance, shielding the firm during difficult economic restructuring periods.

Psychological Empowerment in the Digital Era

Psychological empowerment reflects an employee's active cognitive orientation to their work role, encompassing four perceptual beliefs: **Meaning** (congruence between job duties and personal values), **Competence** (self-efficacy and confidence in technical skills), **Self-Determination** (autonomy in initiating and regulating workflow choices), and **Impact** (the degree to which the worker perceives their efforts as meaningfully influencing departmental and organizational outcomes).

10. The Dark Triad of Personality in Corporate Governance

While constructive traits like conscientiousness drive performance, organizational psychologists examine the **Dark Triad**—a constellation of three distinct, malevolent personality dispositions that frequently manifest among corporate executives and political operators:

- **Machiavellianism:** Characterized by cynical worldviews, ethical flexibility, manipulative interpersonal charm, and strategic calculation. High Machs view colleagues as instrumental stepping stones to achieve corporate power, thriving in ambiguous political environments.
- **Narcissism:** Characterized by grandiose self-importance, entitlement, vanity, an insatiable craving for admiration, and hypersensitivity to criticism. Narcissistic executives often initiate massive, risky corporate acquisitions to satisfy personal vanity, while deflecting operational failures onto subordinates.
- **Psychopathy:** Characterized by shallow emotional affect, callous disregard for others, impulsivity, and a total absence of remorse or empathy. In corporate settings, sub-clinical psychopaths present as polished, daring risk-takers, but leave behind toxic workplace trails characterized by bullying, fraud, and employee demoralization.

11. Seminal Motivation Theories and Workplace Applications

Motivation is the psychological process that initiates, guides, and sustains goal-directed workplace behaviors. Modern management integrates content and process theories:

A. Content Theories of Motivation (What Motivates Employees)

- **Abraham Maslow's Hierarchy of Needs:** Human needs arrange in an ascending pyramid: *Physiological* (fair wages), *Safety* (job security, healthcare), *Social / Belonging* (supportive teams), *Esteem* (promotions, prestigious titles), and *Self-Actualization* (vocational autonomy, creative mastery). A satisfied need ceases to motivate.
- **Frederick Herzberg's Two-Factor (Motivator-Hygiene) Theory:** Job satisfaction and job dissatisfaction are independent dimensions:
  - *Hygiene Factors (Extrinsic):* Company policies, supervision, salary, working conditions. When adequate, hygiene factors prevent dissatisfaction, but never generate positive motivation.
  - *Motivators (Intrinsic):* Achievement, recognition, challenging work, responsibility, advancement. Motivators directly satisfy psychological needs for growth, generating superior discretionary performance.
- **David McClelland's Acquired Needs Theory:** Identifies three dominant learned needs: *Need for Achievement (nAch)*—desire to accomplish difficult tasks independently, seeking personal responsibility and rapid performance feedback; *Need for Power (nPow)*—desire to influence, direct, and control others, essential for executive management; and *Need for Affiliation (nAff)*—desire for warm, friendly social relationships, ideal for mediation and customer care.

Degreelive

B. Process Theories of Motivation (How Motivation Operates)

1. **Victor Vroom's Expectancy Theory:** Posits that motivation is the mathematical product of three cognitive perceptions:
   Motivation = Expectancy × Instrumentality × Valence
   Where: Expectancy = Belief that effort leads to performance (E → P); Instrumentality = Belief that performance leads to rewards (P → R); Valence = Value the employee places on the reward.
   If any single component is zero, total motivational drive collapses.

2. **J. Stacy Adams' Equity Theory:** Proposes that employees continuously compare their perceived workplace **Outcome-to-Input Ratio** (Outcomes = salary, recognition; Inputs = effort, education, hours) against the outcome-input ratio of relevant **Comparison Others**. Perceptions of unfairness trigger behavioral corrections: reducing effort, demanding raises, distorting perceptions, or resigning.

12. The Job Characteristics Model and Work Redesign (Hackman & Oldham)

J. Richard Hackman and Greg Oldham formulated the **Job Characteristics Model (JCM)** to explain how specific job design elements influence employee motivation, job performance, and workplace satisfaction. The JCM posits that any job can be analyzed across **Five Core Job Dimensions** that trigger three critical psychological states, resulting in high internal work motivation and low absenteeism:

Core Job Dimension	Operational Definition	Critical Psychological State Triggered	Workplace Impact
Skill Variety	The degree to which a job requires a variety of different activities involving diverse skills and intellectual talents.	Experienced Meaningfulness of the Work.	Prevents vocational monotony; fosters continuous learning and cognitive stimulation.
Task Identity	The degree to which a job requires completing a whole, identifiable piece of work from beginning to end with a visible outcome.	Experienced Meaningfulness of the Work.	Creates a sense of craftsmanship and pride in visible tangible accomplishments.
Task Significance	The degree to which a job exerts a substantial impact on the lives, safety, or well-being of other people (clients or colleagues).	Experienced Meaningfulness of the Work.	Generates profound intrinsic purpose (e.g., healthcare workers, aircraft maintenance engineers).
Autonomy	The degree to which a job provides substantial freedom, independence, and discretion in scheduling work and determining procedures.	Experienced Responsibility for Work Outcomes.	Fosters personal accountability, proactive problem-solving, and professional ownership.

Core Job Dimension	Operational Definition	Critical Psychological State Triggered	Workplace Impact
Feedback from Job	The degree to which carrying out work activities results in direct, clear information regarding performance effectiveness.	Knowledge of Actual Results of Work Activities.	Enables self-correction without relying exclusively on supervisory appraisal audits.

Mathematical Formulation: The Motivating Potential Score (MPS)

The core dimensions combine mathematically into an overarching composite index measuring a job's capacity to inspire internal motivation:

MPS = [(Skill Variety + Task Identity + Task Significance) / 3] × Autonomy × Feedback

Notice that Autonomy and Feedback enter the formula as multiplicative multipliers; if either Autonomy or Feedback is near zero, the job's overall motivating potential collapses regardless of high variety.

The relationship between job dimensions and personal outcomes is moderated by an individual's **Growth Need Strength (GNS)**—the degree to which an employee desires self-actualization, personal development, and intellectual challenge. Individuals with high GNS respond enthusiastically to job enrichment, whereas those with low GNS may experience anxiety and stress under enlarged job responsibilities.

Degreelive